

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT

René Gschwind

SERIAL NO.

09/890,434

FILED

July 30, 2001

FOR

BREAD SPREAD WITH COLA FLAVOR

Mail Stop PCT Legal

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 PEOCE (200)

21 MAY 200)

International Division

PETITION FOR REVIVAL UNDER 37 CFR §137(A)

Sir:

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper response to Notification of Missing Requirement under 35 U.S.C. §371 dated <u>September 11, 2001</u> and setting forth a two-month period for response. The date of abandonment of this application is <u>November 11, 2001</u>.

Applicant hereby petitions for revival of this application.

)

- 1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee in the amount of \$55.00 (37 CFR §1.17(e). Applicant claims small entity status.
- 2. The reply to the Notice of Missing Requirements was filed on **January 17, 2002** (copy enclosed).
- 3. Since this utility application was filed after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

4. An adequate showing of the cause of the delay and that of the entire delay in filing the response from the due date for the reply until filing of a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137 (a) was unavoidable, is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

David Toren

Reg. No. 19,468

Dated: May 13, 2003 Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 787 Seventh Avenue New York, N.Y. 10019

Tel.: (212) 839-7365

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail and addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 13, 2003.

DT-4080

ATTACHMENT TO THE PETITION FOR REVIVAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. §137(a) SHOWING CAUSE OF THE DELAY

The Notice of Missing Requirements, which is dated September 11, 2001,

was received in the office of the attorneys for this application (which was

previously located at One World Trade Center) with a delay in October, 2001

because of the tragic event of September 11, 2001.

According to Paul Scott, the attorney who handled this case (Paul Scott left

the firm, and his present address is unknown), in response to his call to the U.S.

Patent and Trademark Office, he was advised that a new Notice of Missing

Requirements would be sent and was further advised, during his subsequent

conversation with Robert Bahr, Esq., that a response can be filed with a copy of the

original notice and that the required extension fees would be waived (please see

computer print-out for this file (enclosed herewith), the highlighted paragraph

summarizing the content of the calls. A response was filed on January 17, 2002

(please see printout). Also enclosed is a copy of a page from the log book for

January 17, 2002 showing that the response was filed.

Respectfully submitted

David Toren

Reg. No. 19,468

May 13, 2003